

MINUTES
CITY OF ROELAND PARK, KANSAS
GOVERNING BODY WORKSHOP MEETING
ROELAND PARK
Roeland Park City Hall - 4600 W 51st Street
November 20, 2017 6:00 P.M.

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Joel Marquardt, Mayor ○ Becky Fast, Council Member ○ Tim Janssen, Council Member ○ Ryan Kellerman, Council Member | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Teresa Kelly, Council Member ○ Sheri McNeil, Council Member ○ Michael Poppa, Council Member ○ Michael Rhoades, Council Member ○ Erin Thompson, Council Member | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Keith Moody, City Administrator ○ Jennifer Jones-Lacy, Asst. Admin. ○ Kelley Bohon, City Clerk ○ John Morris, Police Chief ○ Jose Leon, Public Works Director |
|--|---|---|

Admin	Finance	Safety	Public Works
Poppa	Kelly	Thompson	Kellerman
Fast	Janssen	Rhoades	McNeil

CMBR Fast called the meeting to order noting that CMBR McNeil and CMBR Poppa were not present. CMBR Thompson arrived shortly after the start of the meeting.

I. DISCUSSION ITEMS

1. New Server

Ms. Jones-Lacy stated a server replacement is scheduled for January 2018. Due to several server failures they are in a position to do the replacement immediately. In 2018, there is \$12,000 budgeted for a server replacement with \$6,000 budgeted in 2017 for a backup server to be installed. Staff recommendation is to swap the two amounts, replacing the server in 2017 and purchasing a backup in 2018. She added that their data is backed up and it is not a matter of losing that data, but is an issue of losing productivity.

Kent Canady with the Johnson County Department of Technology and Innovation was present. His department’s role is to provide computer support to cities within Johnson County. He has reviewed the server crash failures that Roeland Park has experienced and would like to step up the replacement process to get the City back into a safe situation.

Since this is time sensitive issue, staff requested the item be moved to New Business at the Council meeting following the Workshop

The Governing Body agreed to move this item directly to the Consent Agenda for approval.

2. Review Logo Design with Vireo

Lindsey French from Vireo presented to the Governing Body designs based on the consensus to move forward with Concept 2, the modern stylistic approach that gave a sense of community and home and also that Roeland Park is a neighborhood community that has evolved into a tight-knit city.

After a brief discussion the Governing Body agreed to eliminate Designs 4, 6, and 8.

CMBR Rhoades stated he did not like the trees on 9 and 10.

CMBR Kelly liked the block printing preferred Designs 5 and 10.

CMBR Thompson originally like the cursive, but is swayed toward the block font and also likes Designs 5 and 10.

Ms. French said they are able to change out the fonts for the different graphics.

Mayor Marquardt, CMBR Kellerman and CMBR Fast all liked the cursive font.

CMBR Rhoades and CMBR Janssen added they liked the block font.

Mayor Marquardt said that CMBR McNeil stated in previous meetings that she preferred the retro look and that CMBR Poppa was split both ways.

Due to the importance of this issue, it was agreed to table this decision until all Councilmembers would be present.

The Council asked Ms. French her thoughts. She stated in her professional opinion she would go with the block modern font as she believed it is a bit more timeless and looks more up-to-date. She added that the script has gotten a little bit of a negative review online from public comments. She said that sometimes it is harder to read when reproduced, but that it also does give it that fun retro feel. Ms. French said an option could be to put "Established 1951" in a retro font balanced against the block font.

CMBR Kelly stated she does not want to wait to make a decision. She does not like the house part of the logo at all and she is compromising by having that in. She does not think it will translate well. She added that there is no medallion that can be pulled out of the design that will translate to signs and other things. She said that Number 5 is compact and putting "Est. 1951" in the cursive font should satisfy the retro feel.

CMBR Kellerman liked the idea of putting the established date in the retro font.

CMBR Rhoades said he is comfortable with whatever and to put one part in the cursive font, but he does not like the trees in the air.

There was consensus to continue the discussion at the December 4 Workshop.

3. Continue Discussion on Aquatic Center Year-Round Operation

Jill Geller, Executive Director of the Johnson County Park and Recreation District, Rhonda Pollard, Superintendent of Recreation and Jeff Stewart, Deputy Director of JCPRD were all on hand for the Aquatic Center discussion.

Ms. Geller provided a recent history of what has happened at the center. On October 4, staff inflated the dome for the season and noticed that the interlining was severely torn away from the dome. The pool was closed as there were some concerns with the structural integrity of the dome and also for patron and staff safety. JCPRD contacted Yeadon, the dome manufacturer, and requested an inspection. On October 26, an inspection was completed on the dome and samples of the fabric were taken and sent to the manufacturer's lab for analysis. That evening on October 26 after the inspection, there was a severe wind storm that caused additional damage to the dome. Staff sent pictures of the additional damage to Yeadon, who advised they wait for the fabric analysis to come back before they return for another inspection. On November 2, the fabric analysis showed that there were weak points throughout the dome and Yeadon recommended that the dome no longer be used for regular rental and recreation as they could not assure its integrity.

On November 3, Travelers Insurance performed an inspection after the storm and declared the dome a total loss. Travelers has stated that they will completely replace the dome as it is under policy to JCPRD. They have provided three options to JCPRD. Travelers will replace the dome, which is estimated to be between \$175,000 and \$275,000, less JCPRD's \$25,000 deductible. This option would include replacement of the light fixtures that were damaged as well. The second option is to take an actual cash value payment. Because of the dome's age there is a lot of depreciation. The payout would be between \$30,000 and \$60,000, less the \$25,000 deductible. The third option is to make no claim at all and just consider the dome a loss.

The options were presented to the JCPRD board who took no action. They are very interested in the City's comments considering the future of the facility whether there is a desire to operate it as a 12-month facility or as a 3-month operation upon expiration of the JCPRD agreement in May of 2019. If interested in a 12-month operation, they would replace the dome and at the time the property transfers to the City the dome would transfer along with that. If they are to have an outdoor only facility, then it would not be prudent to replace the dome. Travelers Insurance has given them 180 days to file a claim.

CMBR Rhoades asked if it has been decided by the county that they will no longer share in operations after 2019. Ms. Geller said that has not been decided, but know that it will not be at the current level. He also asked about the money contributed to purchase the new blower. Ms. Geller said they would try to sell that if it is no longer needed for pool operations.

Mayor Marquardt asked what would happen if the county decided to take the money and not replace the dome. Ms. Geller said she would presume it would come to the county because it is their insurance policy, but would be happy to have conversations with the City. But at \$30,000-\$60,000 appraisal with a \$25,000 deductible it would not be a lot of money.

CMBR Kelly said she was under the impression that JCPRD was not subsidizing pool operations anymore. If the door is not completely shut, then what are next steps to find out where that threshold is?

Ms. Geller said she could bring a request back to the board to find out at what level they would be willing to support the pool operation, or they could have a joint meeting with the City. Ms. Geller said their next committee meeting is December 8th, and she would welcome some of the Governing Body to attend.

Mayor Marquardt asked how best to optimize some of the process and whether it is better for the City Administrator and Mayor to talk to the county manager first. It might help them understand the lay of the land a little better before going into the meeting because right now they do not have any direction.

City Administrator Moody asked Ms. Geller to reach out to all the board members to attend the meeting on December 8th.

CMBR Rhoades says the board has three options. If they spend \$270,000 on a new dome they are going to want assurances that the City will keep the pool open. Ms. Geller said it would not be prudent to spend it for a single year and then it not be used further.

CMBR Fast said they will make sure to attend the meeting and thanked the representatives for JCPRD coming to the meeting.

Ms. Geller thanked the Council and said she knew it was a tough decision, but that they do appreciate their partnership.

Public Comment:

Jim Kelly (4200 W. 54th Terr.) Mr. Kelly stated he would like to see the Roeland Park Aquatic Council reinstated. He also recommended a transition team to give the City an opportunity to find out more about the operation of the pool. As a councilmember-elect, he stated he would like to attend the meeting with JCPRD so there is continuity with the incoming councilmembers.

4. 2018 Sports Dome Agreement

There was consensus to move this item to the Consent Agenda for approval.

5. Scope and Fee for The Rocks Site Rendering

There was consensus to move to this item to New Business

6. Wayfinding Signs

There was consensus to table this discussion to the December 4 Workshop.

7. Financial Report

There was consensus move this item to New Business

8. Update on Development on NE Johnson Dr. and Roe Blvd.

City Administrator Moody said this item is an update/presentation of where they are. The developer has had a change of heart from their original submittal of a multi-story building and now prefers a single-story retail-only approach. City Administrator Moody has asked them to provide their information and would like to know if Council wants to move forward with pursuing that option.

There was consensus to table this discussion to the December 4 Workshop.

II. NON-ACTION ITEMS:

III. ADJOURN

(Roeland Park Governing Body Workshop Adjourned at 7:00 p.m.)